



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/708,146

02/11/2004

Peter Arthur Tobler

718026.64

2145

27128 7590 07/29/2008
HUSCH BLACKWELL SANDERS LLP
720 OLIVE STREET
SUITE 2400
ST. LOUIS, MO 63101

EXAMINER

WEST, JEFFREY R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2857

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/29/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

pto-sl@huschblackwell.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/708,146	Applicant(s) TOBLER ET AL.	
	Examiner JEFFREY R. WEST	Art Unit 2857	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jeffrey R. West. (3)_____.

(2) Mark E. Stallion (Reg. #46,132). (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 10 July 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All-by discussion of independent claim subject matter.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0004656 to Bjornson.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant and the Examiner discussed interpretations of the prior art with respect to the claimed limitations specifically whether Bjornson teaches inputting quality control measurement data regarding a possible product defect. Applicant and the Examiner also discussed a proposed amendment to specify that the facility produces a product.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jeffrey R. West/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2857

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required